UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,558	12/05/2000	Naruhito Higo	MUR-024-USA-PCT	8943
27955 TOWNSEND &	7590 07/03/2007 & RANTA		EXAMINER	
c/o PORTFOLI	IO IP		NGUYEN, CAMTU TRAN	
PO BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	
	•	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		(					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/701,558	HIGO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Camtu T. Nguyen	3772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 February 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·	,						
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  A) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Art Unit: 3772

### **DETAILED ACTION**

ŧ

### Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on February 17, 2007. No claim has been amended.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's comments pertaining to the references applied in the previous Office Action are acknowledged. The claims have been carefully considered, however, deemed moot in view of the following rejection.

#### Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Higo et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,908,400). Higo et al discloses in Figures 1 and 2 an iontophoretic device

Art Unit: 3772

comprising elements as recited in these claims including and electrode (2), drug-retraining porous membrane (8), and a hydrophilic, polymeric gel layer (4) situated between the electrode (2) and the porous membrane (8). With regards to claim 4, the Higo et al's porous membrane (8) has a thickness of .125 mm (column 6 lines 51-53). With regards to claims 5-7, the Higo et al discloses its invention retaining drugs ionizable and transdermally absorb biologically active peptides or proteins (column 10 lines 35-47). With regards to claim 8, a protein or a peptide are markers of tumors and the like. With regards to claim 9, the Higo et al discloses the invention preferably uses amino acids as an additional humectants (column 15 lines 23-45).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higo et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,908,400) and further in view of Strausak et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,370,635). Higo et al discloses in Figures 1 and 2 an iontophoretic device comprising elements as recited in these claims including a drug-retaining porous membrane (8) adsorbing a peptide and a protein, but does not suggest the adsorbability rate of the porous membrane. Strausak et al discloses a device for delivering medicament has a membrane and the membrane consists of a film of a hydrobhobic or hydrophilic, porous membrane material – for example plastic. Porous flexible film composed of polypropylene suitable for the formation of membranes are for example

Application/Control Number: 09/701,558 Page 4

Art Unit: 3772

ranges. With regards to applicant's adsorbability rate, the Strausek et al's polypropylene porous flexible membrane having disclosed thickness pores size is capable of producing high absorbability as recited. Furthermore, the Strausek et al's polypropylene is one of applicant's disclosed preferred members for detection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Camtu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4799. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-F) 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on 571-272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Camtu Nguyen June 18, 2007

PATRICIA BIANCO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700